Judgment rendered December 18, 2024. Application for rehearing may be filed within the delay allowed by Art. 2166, La. C.C.P.

No. 55,973-CA

# **COURT OF APPEAL** SECOND CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA

\* \* \* \* \*

## IN THE MATTER OF THE SUCCESSION OF OTIS THOMAS

\* \* \* \* \*

Appealed from the Fifth Judicial District Court for the Parish of Franklin, Louisiana Trial Court No. 47,937

Honorable Stephen G. Dean, Judge

\* \* \* \* \*

MICHAEL W. KELLY Counsel for Appellants,

> Stephanie Foy Wilhite and Kimona Arthur

Guillory

E. MICAH HOGGATT Counsel for Appellee,

Daryl Higgins

MICHAEL R. ELLINGTON Counsel for Appellees,

Siblings of Mabel

Lawrence Thomas, Leah

Lawrence Watson, Freddy Lawrence and Sherman Lawrence

CAREY ELLIS, III Counsel for Appellees,

> Siblings of Otis Thomas, Willie Thomas, David Thomas, Frank Thomas,

and Alfred Thomas

\* \* \* \* \*

## COX, J.

These civil appeals arise from the Fifth Judicial District Court,

Franklin Parish. Three docket numbers were assigned at the trial court

pertaining to the successions of Otis Thomas and Mabel Lawrence Thomas,
husband and wife. Mabel's siblings filed a petition to open her intestate
succession in the case underlying Docket No. 55,972-CA; two of Otis's

putative children filed to establish paternity, and his siblings petitioned the
trial court to name one of his brothers as the independent administrator in the
case underlying Docket No. 55,973-CA; and Otis's putative children filed a
petition to probate two notarial testaments executed by Otis and Mabel on
May 22, 2012, in the case underlying Docket No. 55,974-CA.

Otis's putative children, Stephanie Wilhite and Kimona Guillory, (hereinafter referred to as "the Children") appeal the trial court's judgment ruling the two notarial testaments executed by Otis and Mabel were invalid and null. For the following reasons, we affirm the trial court.

#### **FACTS**

No children were born of the marriage between Otis and Mabel Thomas. Mabel died on November 5, 2021, and Otis died on April 25, 2022. The following three suits were filed after their deaths:

Docket No. 55,972-CA (Trial Court No. 47,936C)

On April 25, 2022, Leah Watson ("Leah"), Mabel's sister, filed a notice of application for appointment of administratrix of Mabel's succession in accordance with La. C.C.P. arts. 3091 and 3093. On May 18, 2022, the Children also filed a request for notice of application for appointment of administrator or administratrix.

On October 19, 2022, Leah, Freddy Lawrence, and Sherman

Lawrence filed a petition for appointment of administrator. They requested
that Elbert Lawrence be appointed as administrator of Mabel's succession.

On November 14, 2022, the Children filed an objection to the appointment of administrator. They alleged that Mabel died testate, the testament was filed under a separate docket number (No. 48,161), and Stephanie was appointed independent executrix of both Otis's and Mabel's successions.

*Docket No. 55,973-CA (Trial Court No. 47,937C)* 

On April 25, 2022, Leah filed a notice of application for appointment of administratrix of Otis's succession in accordance with La. C.C.P. arts. 3091 and 3093. On May 18, 2022, the Children also filed a request for notice of application for appointment of administrator or administratrix.

On June 20, 2022, the Children filed a petition to establish filiation. They stated that Otis died intestate; his May 22, 2012 will was invalid; and he had affairs with their respective mothers nine months before they were born. The Children requested blood/tissue samples from Otis's brothers to determine paternity.

On September 16, 2022, Willie Thomas, Otis's sibling, filed an application to be appointed administrator of Otis's succession. The trial court signed an order appointing Willie as administrator on September 19, 2022.

On October 28, 2022, Ladarrell Jerrell Higgins filed a petition to establish filiation. He alleged that Otis had a romantic relationship with his mother nine months before he was born and requested blood/tissue samples from Otis's siblings to determine paternity. Higgins included the affidavit of

his mother. She stated that Otis was Higgins' father, and Otis acknowledged to Frank Thomas that Higgins was his son.

On February 3, 2023, the Children filed a motion to amend the petition to establish filiation and consolidate their suits with No. 48,161. They stated that they held a familial relationship with Mabel as their mother and a familial and biological relationship with Otis as their father. They sought to amend their filiation petition to state that Otis left a valid will, and they were uncertain of the biological relationship between Otis and his brothers. The Children stated that they were named and acknowledged as daughters of Otis in his will.

Docket No. 55,974-CA (Trial Court No. 48,161)

On October 4, 2022, Stephanie filed a petition for probate of testament and independent administration of Otis's and Mabel's successions. Otis stated in his two-page last will and testament, "I have two children, namely: Stephanie Foy and Kimonia Guillory. No other children were born to me, and I have neither acknowledged any other children, either formally or informally, nor have I ever adopted any other children." He stated that if Mabel predeceased him, then he leaves "all that I die possessed of [sic] Stephanie Foy, Kimonia Guillory, Morgan Hill, and Andrea Delone Estes to share and share alike in equal parts." The attestation clause provided the following:

This will, consisting of two (2) pages, has been prepared and typewritten under my direction for execution in accordance with the provisions of La Rev Stat § 9:2442, and, accordingly, has been signed by me, Otis Thomas, testator, on the date first above written, in the presence of the undersigned notary public and witnesses, after due presentation and declaration by me,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kimona's name is also spelled "Kimonia" in the record.

Otis Thomas, testator, that this is my last will and testament and I have signed my name at the end of the will and on each page.

Mabel's last will and testament is almost identical to Otis's will.

However, Mabel stated that she did not have any children. She left everything to Otis; and if he predeceased her, she left everything to Stephanie Foy, Kimonia Guillory, Morgan Hill, and Andrea Delone Estes.

The attestation clause in her will provided the following:

This will, consisting: of two (2) pages, has been prepared and typewritten under my direction for execution in accordance with the provisions of La Rev Stat § 9:2442, and, accordingly, has been signed by me, Mabel Lawrence Thomas, testator, on the date first above written, in the presence of the undersigned notary public and witnesses, after due presentation and declaration by me, Mabel Lawrence Thomas, testator, that this is my last will and testament and I have signed my name at the end of the will and on each page.

Both wills were signed by two witnesses and a notary, attorney C. Arnold Lain.

On October 11, 2022, Stephanie was appointed and confirmed as testamentary independent executrix of both successions. On October 18, 2022, Leah filed an ex parte motion to set aside the order, arguing that an action between identical parties has already commenced under Docket Nos. 47,936 and 47,937. She requested that the order appointing Stephanie as administrator be set aside. The trial court signed the order setting aside the appointment of Stephanie effective immediately.

A hearing was held on November 14, 2022, for all three docket numbers. All parties agreed to provide an accounting for any assets in their possession or control, and the validity of the testaments would be argued at a later hearing.

On February 9, 2023, a hearing was held regarding the validity of the wills. Arnelia Short testified that she witnessed Otis's will on May 22, 2012, in Attorney Lain's office. Ms. Short stated that she saw Otis sign the will on both pages, she was presented with the document, and the document was read aloud. She testified that Otis seemed to be aware of what was going on during the signing, and she did not recall anything being "out of order" that day. On cross-examination, Ms. Short stated that the last clause did not include the words "our," "each other," or "we."

Grace Blount testified that she knew Otis and Mabel and suggested to Mabel that they come into her office and have their wills done. Ms. Blount was a secretary for Attorney Lain. She testified that she witnessed Otis's will and was present when he signed both pages. She stated that she was presented with the will, and it was read aloud. Ms. Blount stated that Otis appeared to understand what was being read to him, and there did not appear to be any stress or fraudulent activity that day. Ms. Short and Ms. Blount agreed that the people present at the time of the signing were themselves, Mr. Lain, Otis, and Mabel.

Elbert Lawrence testified that he took Mabel to the hospital on the day she passed away. He stated that after Mabel passed away, they found her 2012 will, and the envelope in which the will was found had "cancel" written on it. He testified that Mr. Wilhite was the one to show him the envelope with "cancel" written on it. He stated that he was not certain how many wills were in the envelope, but he knew Mabel's 2012 will was inside.

The attorneys submitted briefs regarding the validity of the wills. On October 19, 2023, the trial court issued its judgment and written reasons for

judgment declaring the wills to be invalid or absolutely null. The trial court stated the following:

Having considered these memoranda and the statutes and case cited therein, in light of the language and wording of the Wills themselves and the testimony received at the hearing on this Motion to Rescind, the Court is of the opinion that each of the Wills lacks a declaration, or "attestation clause", in the language of article 1577 or substantially similar thereto, by the notary and witnesses to each of the respective Wills.

Each of the Wills are therefore declared to be absolutely null pursuant to the provisions of Civil Code Article 1573.

Accordingly, all orders previously entered in Docket Number 48161 will be rescinded and withdrawn, and all proceedings under Docket Number 48,161 are terminated and closed.

Any and all future matters concerning the Succession of Otis Thomas or Succession of Mabel Thomas shall be filed in the respective matter to which it applies under either Docket Number 47,936 or Docket Number 47,937.

All costs of court associated with the filing of docket number 48161 and necessitated thereby shall be cast against Stephanie Foy Wilhite and Kimona Arthur Guillory.

The Children now appeal.

#### **DISCUSSION**

#### Attestation Clause

The Children argue that the wills executed by Otis and Mabel substantially comply with the attestation clause requirements set forth in La. C.C. art. 1577. They argue that Otis and Mabel placed everyone on notice of their wishes to dispose of their property in their wills, which were done by authentic act. They submit that the attestation clauses in both wills, which are identical, are sufficient to meet the requirements in La. C.C. art. 1577.

Appellees assert that the attestation clauses were not an attestation by the notary and witnesses to wills. They argue that the attestation clause before this Court is not an attestation clause. They state that because there is

no declaration by the notary and witnesses, as required by art. 1577, both wills are invalid. They argue that an attestation clause of a testator cannot be substituted as an attestation clause for the notary and witnesses.

In a will contest, an appellate court must accord great weight to the factual findings of the trial court and cannot disturb such findings in the absence of manifest error. *Succession of Rogers*, 51,267 (La. App. 2 Cir. 9/27/17), 243 So. 3d 1209. However, the trial court's interpretation and application of legal principles and statutory provisions are legal findings subject to de novo review. *Id*.

The formalities prescribed for the execution of a testament must be observed or the testament is absolutely null. La. C.C. art. 1573. A notarial testament is one that is executed in accordance with the formalities of Articles 1577 through 1580.1. La. C.C. art. 1576. The purpose of prescribing formalities for the execution of wills is to guard against mistake, imposition, undue influence, fraud or deception, to afford a means of determining the will's authenticity, and to prevent substitution of some other writing in its place. *Succession of Rogers, supra*.

## La. C.C. art. 1577 provides:

The notarial testament shall be prepared in writing and dated and shall be executed in the following manner. If the testator knows how to sign his name and to read and is physically able to do both, then:

- (1) In the presence of a notary and two competent witnesses, the testator shall declare or signify to them that the instrument is his testament and shall sign his name at the end of the testament and on each other separate page.
- (2) In the presence of the testator and each other, the notary and the witnesses shall sign the following declaration, or one substantially similar: "In our presence the testator has declared or signified that this instrument is his testament and has signed it at the end and on each other separate page, and in the

presence of the testator and each other we have hereunto subscribed our names this day of ."

Courts liberally construe and apply the laws governing notarial wills, maintaining the validity of the will if at all possible, as long as it is in substantial compliance with the statute. *Succession of Harlan*, 17-1132 (La. 5/1/18), 250 So. 3d 220. Because the purpose of an attestation clause is merely to "evince the facts and circumstances of the confection and execution" of a will, the form of an attestation clause is not "sacrosanct." *Succession of Liner*, 19-02011 (La. 6/30/21), 320 So. 3d 1133.

Courts must determine if a notarial will, with all formalities and evidence taken into consideration, reflects the testator was sufficiently protected against the risk of fraud. *Id.* Whether the deviating language sufficiently protects against the risk of fraud is construed liberally in favor of maintaining the validity of the will. *Id.* 

In order for a notarial testament to be valid as to form, (1) the testator must declare in the presence of a notary and two witnesses that the instrument is his testament, (2) the testator must sign his name at the end of the testament and on each separate page, and (3) the notary and two witnesses must sign a declaration in the presence of each other and the testator attesting that the formalities of Article 1577 have been followed. *Succession of Watson*, 52,199 (La. App. 2 Cir. 8/15/18), 253 So. 3d 867.

In *Succession of Otillio*, 22-0606 (La. App. 1 Cir. 1/10/23), 361 So. 3d 19, the First Circuit found that the lack of an attestation clause by the notary and witnesses was a material deviation causing the will to be invalid. The *Otillio* case is similar to the case before us.

In this case, there is no attestation made by either the witnesses or the notary. As quoted above, both wills only contain an attestation by the testator/testatrix. The first and second requirements of a notarial testament are not questioned. However, the third requirement is that the notary and two witnesses sign a declaration in the presence of each other and the testator. This requirement is not met as there is no attestation by the witnesses and notary. We are unable to determine that the attestation is substantially similar to La. C.C. art. 1577 because it is nonexistent. We find this lack of attestation clause to be a material deviation. Therefore, both wills fail to comply with La. C.C. art. 1577(2) and are invalid. The rule of liberal construction set forth in *Liner*, *supra*, cannot create a declaration where none exists. *Succession of Otillio*, *supra*. This assignment of error lacks merit.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons stated above, we affirm the trial court's judgment in declaring the wills of Mabel and Otis Thomas to be invalid. Costs associated with this appeal are cast on Stephanie Wilhite and Kimona Guillory.

### AFFIRMED.