

No. 49,526-CA

ON REHEARING

COURT OF APPEAL
SECOND CIRCUIT
STATE OF LOUISIANA

* * * * *

CHRISTOPHER WILLIAMS

Plaintiff-Appellee

Versus

CURT EYSINK, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
OF THE LOUISIANA WORKFORCE
COMMISSION AND THE CITY OF RUSTON

Defendants-Appellants

* * * * *

PER CURIAM ON REHEARING

Originally Appealed from the
Third Judicial District Court for the
Parish of Lincoln, Louisiana
Trial Court No. 56654

Honorable Cynthia T. Woodard, Judge

* * * * *

KEAN MILLER
By: S. Price Barker
Megan L. Reinkemeyer

Counsel for Appellant
The City of Ruston

J. JEROME BURDEN

Counsel for Appellant
The Louisiana Workforce
Commission

ESCAMILLA & PONECK
By: Wesley E. Johnson

Counsel for Appellee
Christopher Williams

* * * * *

Before BROWN, STEWART, DREW, MOORE and PITMAN, JJ.

PER CURIAM

Our original opinion in this matter assessed court costs in the amount of \$2,096.64, to be split equally between the Louisiana Workforce Commission and the City of Ruston, pursuant to La. R.S. 13:5112(A).

However, La. R.S. 5112(D) states:

D. This law shall not apply to, nor shall the administrator of Louisiana Employment Security Law be cast for any court costs in, any judicial review proceeding under the provisions of R.S. 23:1634.

Therefore, we revise our original opinion to omit the assessment of court costs to the defendant, Louisiana Workforce Commission. The City of Ruston is still responsible for $\frac{1}{2}$ of the court costs, which amounts to \$1,048.32. With these modifications, the application for rehearing is denied.